

Interview Summary	Application No. 10/028,856	Applicant(s) APOLLONSKY ET AL.	
	Examiner Neveen Abel-Jalil	Art Unit 2165	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Mr. Eric Hemm (Attorney of Record). (3)_____.

(2) Neveen Abel-Jalil. (4)_____.

Date of Interview: 03 January 2005.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: N/A.

Identification of prior art discussed: N/A.


Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.


Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Prior to contacting Mr. Hemm, the Examiner called Mr. David Reid (Previous Attorney of Record with the same firm) on 29-December-2004. Mr. Reid verified that no response has been filed in reply to the office action mailed on 8-6-2004. But also directed the Examiner to contact Mr. Hemm as the newly assigned attorney of record on this application. The Examiner could not reach Mr. Hemm leaving two messages dated 29-December-2004, and 5-January-2005. Therefore, this application is considered abandoned by the applicant for failure to timely file a proper response to the office action mailed on 8-6-2004.